

Amendments to permitted development rights

Details

Q1. Details

Name

[REDACTED]

Organisation

-

Preferred contact details (Email address, phone number or address)

[REDACTED]

Q2. Type (please select one from the following)

Responding in a private capacity

Q3. Responses to consultations may be made public. To keep your response anonymous (including email addresses) tick the box.

No Response

Questions

Q4. Q1. Should the additional days granted by Class A of Part 4A be retained permanently, permitting temporary uses to take place for up to 56 days (28 days for specified uses) in a calendar year?

Yes

Comments:

This is particularly helpful for individuals who want to make a rural site on their private land available for quiet secluded camping in a small way.

Q5. Q2. Do you have any evidence as to any benefits and impacts as a result of introducing the additional number of days for temporary uses to take place since April? If yes, please specify.

Yes

Comments:

We opened a one pitch site very late in the season this year on our land under the 56 day allowance and were surprised by the positive comments received from the young people who took up our offer. They really appreciated the spontaneity of the booking and the exclusive use of a small woodland. It would not be practical for us to make this provision if we had to go through the hoops of planning permission and it would not be economically viable to do so again offering our pitch for less than 56 days given that we must have appropriate insurance cover each year. We understand that the demand for camping pitches in Wales during the spring, summer & early autumn months has increased enormously. Given the value of tourism in Wales and its positive impact on the Welsh economy, any small and simple tweaks to what is permitted, such as the 56 days per year rule, can only enhance this essential "trade" within the country.

Q6. Q3. Do you have views on whether there should be additional restrictions on the use of this PDR to mitigate against potential impacts of making this permanent? If yes, please specify.

Yes

Comments:

We can envisage the use of this PDR in relation to large camping areas COULD prove problematic in some cases, so perhaps some restrictions should be considered. In relation to individual small sites offering only a very few pitches, its use can only be helpful, in our view!

Q7. Q4. Should the number of days for holding a market generally be extended? If Yes, what is an acceptable number of days for holding a market? What conditions should apply to manage the planning impacts?

No Response

Q8. Q5. Should any additional days over the permitted 14 days be provided for markets operated by or on behalf of a local authority?

No Response

Q9. Q6. Do you agree the permitted changes of use within town centres should become permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No Response

Q10. Q7. Do you agree the permitted development right for the use of the highway adjacent to a hospitality use for that purpose should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No Response

Q11. Q8. If you answered yes to Q7, are any additional conditions required to mitigate potential amenity impacts?

No Response

Q12. Q9. Do you agree the permitted development right for the installation of awnings at hospitality uses should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No Response

Q13. Q10. Do you have any comments regarding Part 3A?

No Response

Q14. Q11. Do you have any comments regarding Part 12A?

No Response

Q15. Q12. Do you agree that HMOs should not benefit from permitted development rights for alterations and extensions to a dwellinghouse granted by Part 1 of the GPDO? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No Response

Q16. Q13. Do you agree with the proposed alterations to Class F? If not, please suggest alternative approaches, restrictions or thresholds that could be adopted.

No Response

Q17. Q14. Do you agree greater flexibility should be provided through permitted development rights to accelerate the rollout of electric vehicle charging infrastructure? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No Response

Q18. Q15. Do you agree with reintroducing permitted development rights for the protection of poultry and other captive birds?

No Response

Q19. Q16. Do you agree with the proposals for amending Article 4 directions?

No Response

Q20. Q17. We would like to know your views on the effects of the proposals would have on the Welsh language, specifically on opportunities for people to use Welsh and on treating the Welsh language no less favourably than English.

What effects do you think there would be? How could positive effects be increased, or negative effects be mitigated?

No Response

Q21. 18. We have asked a number of specific consultation questions. If you have any related issues which we have not specifically addressed, please use the space below to raise them.

I have only responded in relation to questions 1-3 as I am specifically interested in the use of the PDR for camping facilities in Wales. I'm afraid I have no knowledge of the other issues in this consultation which is why the remaining questions are blank. I hope my small contribution will be useful.

Submit your response

Q22. If you want to receive a receipt of your response, please provide an email address.

Email address

[REDACTED]